Ou

esda∦

witt

Eng

liza

will ages,

the

neb

гас

om

the

the

the

The

апф

pai

àtic

and

re

ich

0 Winnipeg's Tax Problem

Millions Spent by City On Unemployment Relief

Burden Entirely Beyond Winnipeg's Capacity-Relief Debt Now \$6,205,000 Ottawa's Plans Awaited.

The financial position of Winni- paid one-third of the cost of direct it lie peg is affected by an impossible load relief, the City also paying the adimen of relief costs. The charmous exnion penditure for unemployment relief ains has not been felt, by the citizens e and since nearly all the money has been since nearly all the money has been ed in Iding borrowed—all of it during the last k of three years. The interest and sinking fund charges on the relief loans

are all that the taxpayers have been carrying. These are isteadily ination creasing and they will have to be adian carried for fifteen years, besides Prof an amount for current relies that will have to go into the annual budget when most of the present load has been taken off the city's lan igion shoulders. men)

Winnipeg's own share of relief costs last year was \$1,836,504, and the relief debt at the end of 1935 was \$6,205,130, of which \$2,411.176 was borrowed from the Dominion, dis tra borrowed 110.1.

\$2,963,954 from the bank, and 2000, the 000 on city debentures taken by the sinking fund trustees. More recentkees sinking fund trustees the sale of de-icity by \$1,100,000 by the sale of debentures to private investors

During the first depression after the war, there was an unemploy-ment problem which seemed serious enough when the city spent \$220,000 d to on relief in 1925. (The flebt charges aste for relief last year were almost encit twice that sum.) Relief costs in 1928 fell to \$23,308.

the The comparatively small cost of lish relief from 1926 to 1930, and then to the terrific increase up to the end of last year, are indicated in the acompanying chart. This is the cost that fell on the city itself, and does not include the total expenditure which was shared by the governments in the last five years. The actual cost to Winniper in the last ten years was:

\$ 133,000 45,378 1926 1927 1928 23,308 1929 25,914 1930 274,597. 905,751 1932 1.146.897 1933 1.324.263 1934 1,556,627 1935 1.886,504

This crushing burden was left to the city to carry because it was de-clared that the primary responsiclared bility for the relief of the unem-ployed belonged to the municipalities. There was no recognition by the Dominion Government that there was a national emergency, during which the municipalities should not be expected to carry more than they were after, the Dominion assuming the greater part of the burden.

At first, the City and the Provincial and Federal governments each federal government.

ministration costs. In 1934, while the relief costs were still going up, the Dominion cut down its contribution from 33 to 20 per cent. The Province has paid 331-3 per cent throughout. This left Winnipeg to pay 462-3 per cent, besides the ad-ministration costs, now well over \$100,000. The governments also re-fused to pay anything for medical or dental relief, and the city has had to foot the bill. The new government at Ottawa agreed, a few months ago, to in-crease its contribution for direct relief until March 31 in order to ease the load on the municipalities. The result was, in Manitoba, that the two governments pay 70 per cent of the cost, and Winnipeg's costs are reduced \$50,000 a month.

The all important question is what the arrangement is going to be after March 31. Winnipeg and other municipalities require much more casement of the relief burden. Winnipeg has spent \$7,080,000 on direct relief since 1930. The total expenditure in Winnipeg was \$17,-077,000, of which the City itself paid 41 per cent.

The City is now paying 30 per cent

of the cost of general relief and also

medical and dental relief.

The latest report from the Relief Department shows 6,560 families on relief in the week ending March 7. In the corresponding week last year there were 7,264 families, and in the previous year 8,117 families. The number of single men being cared for by the city is 155, and the num-ber, of single women 1,900. The number of women on relief at this time last year was 1711 and in the previous year 1.580.

The total cost of relief in the week ending March 7 was \$83,446, as compared with \$75,486 in the corresponding week last year. Food cost \$2,120 less, and clothing cost a little less, but there were increases for fuel, medical fees, medicai supplies, dental fees and repairs, and administration costs.

While there is some improvement in the employment situation, there is not yet the actual improvement that would be indicated by the re-duction in the number of families on relief from 7.264 last year to 6.560 at the present time, because 503 mar-ried men have been taken off relief to work on the sewage scheme. Apart from this, there are only 201 families fewer than last year.

More of the married men may find employment this year, but the city's relief costs will depend a good deal upon the public works that are car-ried on and upon the share of direct relief costs that are borne by the federal government.

of the Girl d Rosedale evenin 🖋

was in c

Short responsit er were tuck. visiting leaders ing the was held

There mind tha marketed will put feet," sta assistant Imperial dày.

Mr. Ju Wednesda where he conventio B.E.S.L., a Canadian the return day stay administration costs and the cost of to busines Lodge nos "Prejud prejudice,

> zenship of auditoriur ever, prep ticulariy i tries, he s a speaker evening. on the life

J. Reeve,

high scho

Leiters companies commentiz cilites, we the minin bureau. held in the queries se Representa and provid present at

Befor

